

Processes: Sprint Review / Release Retrospective



It's about time we close working cycle times in our LPM project management. In the previous newsletter we described the evolution of sprint progress through the *sprint planning* and the *daily meeting*. We have not elaborated on how the *release* progresses since this is based on the progress of the *Sprints* that compose it.

To conclude each of the *sprints* we hold the **Sprint Review**. This meeting aims to review the sprint just finished: how it went and what has been done, what difficulties have emerged, what went wrong and how to better fix it in the future. The reviews not only **help us to know where we are, but especially to learn and improve**.

Sprint Review format:

- Duration: about 2 hours.
- Attendants: the full team and the product owner.
- Style: Informal and light, do not use slide show.

Content:

- The *team* presents the progress during the *sprint*.
- Review and analysis of what tasks could not be finished and the reasons why.
- Restructuring of the remaining *sprints* and of the rest of the *release*.

After executing the last *sprint*, the closing of a *release* also requires a meeting itself: the **Release Retrospective**. This meeting is similar to the **Sprint Review** but its duration is shorter, since thorough reviews have been done at the end of each *sprint*. When we arrive at the end of *release*, we have already done the analysis. The only step left is for the *product owner* to validate and formalize the end of the *release*.

Release Retrospective Format:

- Duration: about 15 minutes.
- Attendants: the full *team* and the *product owner*.
- Style: Informal and light, do not use slide show.

Content:

- The *team* presents the progress during the *release*.
- The *product owner* validates the end of the *release*.

This systematization provides the feeling of moving forward by closing doors behind us, leaving well-completed stages, and especially agreeing they are actually completed. It is like walking with the feet well adhered to the ground.

We do not get tired of insisting that, **in innovation**, progressing requires this approach. **It is more important to know that we are moving in the right direction rather than pretending we know exactly where we will end.**